International Journal of Indian Languages and Literature
A Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal
ISSN : 3108-2254 IMPACT FACTOR : 4.25
Vol. 1, Issue 4 www.ijill.in July-Sept 2026

From Gendered Silence to Collective Voice: Patriarchy, Resistance and Women's Agency in Vasanth Kannabiran's *Sathyavathi*

P. Gayathri[1]
PhD Scholar
Department of English
Palamuru University, Mahabubnagar
Telangana, India

Dr. Malavi Jeripotula[2]
HOD & Asst. Professor
Department of English
Palamuru University, Mahabubnagar
Telangana, India

Abstract: *Sathyavathi: Confronting Caste, Class, and Gender* by Vasanth Kannabiran is a significant biographical story that illustrates the ways in which caste, family, poverty, education, sexuality, marriage, labour, and community institutions all contribute to gender oppression. The existence of *Sathyavathi* shows that separating gender from caste and class is insufficient to fully comprehend the reality of a Dalit woman. The interconnectedness of patriarchal and caste-based structures is demonstrated by her early work, educational limitations, pressure to marry, sexual vulnerability, domestic abuse, economic hardship, and subsequent interactions with women who have experienced social and sexual violence. The transition of *Sathyavathi* from gendered quiet to individual resistance and ultimately to collective female action is examined in this essay. It contends that real experience, not abstract intellectual education, is how her feminism evolves. She gradually transforms personal resistance into social activism through her insistence on education, rejection of a forced marriage, resistance to sexual compulsion, leaving an abusive spouse, pursuit of economic independence, and work with underprivileged people. Through legal literacy, women's organisations, intervention against sexual violence, gender sensitisation, and political empowerment, her founding of the Rural Awareness and Development Society broadens this opposition. The study contends that *Sathyavathi*'s life story highlights the need to comprehend patriarchy as inextricably linked to caste and material injustice, drawing on Dalit feminist scholarship. In the end, her path converts individual voice into

International Journal of Indian Languages and Literature
A Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal
ISSN : 3108-2254 IMPACT FACTOR : 4.25
Vol. 1, Issue 4 www.ijill.in July-Sept 2026

collective feminist consciousness and personal anguish into political wisdom.

Keywords: women's agency, patriarchy, *Sathyavathi*, Dalit feminism, and gender discrimination

Introduction

It is impossible to view gender oppression in Vasanth Kannabiran's *Sathyavathi: Confronting Caste, Class, and Gender* as a stand-alone type of prejudice. Through her dual identities as a Dalit, impoverished, rural, working, and socially marginalised woman, the biography shows how *Sathyavathi* sees gender. Her early labour, her fight for an education, her opposition to marriage, her encounters with sexual coercion and domestic abuse, and her eventual rise to prominence as a community organiser all demonstrate the ongoing reinforcement of caste, class, and patriarchy. Nidhi Sadana Sabharwal and Wandana Sonalkar's assessment that "Woman" in India is not a homogeneous concept" because to women's differences in caste, education, economic status, and other factors is consistent with this interconnection (45). Because *Sathyavathi*'s gendered experience is inextricably linked to her material and caste place, their thesis is especially pertinent to her.

When the condition of Dalit women is compared to popular narratives of women's oppression, the need for such an integrated approach becomes evident. Dalit women experience "not only discrimination based on their gender but also caste identity and consequent economic deprivation," according to Sabharwal and Sonalkar (44). This notion is given narrative substance by *Sathyavathi*'s biography. Her lack of access to books, the hardship of child labour, educational limitations, vulnerability in dependent relationships, and battle for financial independence show that caste and poverty are intertwined with patriarchy. Because women have fewer institutional and material resources to oppose gender discrimination, it becomes more severe.

Another crucial framework for analysing the biography is provided by Gopal Guru's seminal thesis in "Dalit Women Talk Differently."" Dalit leaders have always subordinated, and at times suppressed, an independent political expression of Dalit women," according to Guru

(2548). His argument highlights an issue with emancipatory politics itself: women may continue to be marginalised even in movements aimed at liberating oppressed people. The biography's conviction that the Dalit woman must become a vocal and acting subject rather than continue to be represented by male authority is what makes it relevant to *Sathyavathi*. Her life gradually shifts in the direction of precisely this kind of self-expression.

Susie Tharu also opposes methods that divide gender and caste into discrete categories. She contends that the writing of Dalit women is "not an intersection of issues (caste, gender) that have separate real lives elsewhere" (159). Rather, Dalit women's experiences encompass issues related to land, education, family, sexuality, domestic violence, political leadership, hunger, fear, and daily living. The biography of *Sathyavathi* eloquently demonstrates this point. Hunger is inextricably linked to gendered family responsibilities; education is inextricably linked to patriarchal authority; sexuality is inextricably linked to economic reliance; and caste power in rural society is inextricably linked to women's access to justice.

Even before *Sathyavathi*'s own gender conflict starts, the biography develops these patterns. Anthamma, her mother, experiences early pregnancy, child marriage, heavy domestic work, and multiple childbirths. According to Kannabiran 3, she "matured at twelve and had a child at thirteen." Despite growing up in this gender hierarchy, *Sathyavathi* is becoming less and less inclined to perpetuate it. Her early hobbies go against the stereotypes of masculine and female behaviour. Her desire to further her studies challenges the authority of her family. She opposes patriarchal control over female choice by declining an unwelcome marriage. Her departure from a violent marriage challenges the notion that maintaining a marriage is more vital than maintaining a woman's dignity, and her rejection of sexual compulsion proclaims bodily autonomy.

Thus, it is noteworthy that Tharu notes that Dalit women's writing provides more comprehensive frameworks than those of what she refers to as "upper-caste feminism" (159). Because *Sathyavathi*'s experience is created at the intersection of gender, caste, labour, poverty, sexuality, education, and rural power systems, her life cannot be fully captured by a universalised concept of womanhood.

As a result, this essay explores *Sathyavathi*'s transition from gendered silence to collective voice. It contends that through a series of acts of inquiry, rejection, learning, financial independence, social intervention, and organization, her agency grows. In the end, her personal experiences serve as a resource for comprehending how other women are oppressed. She converts individual resistance into a grassroots feminist practice focused on dignity, legal awareness, collective organization, and women's ability to speak and act for themselves through community work and the Rural Awareness and Development Society.

Review of Literature

The key to comprehending the unique place Dalit women hold in feminist and Dalit political discourse is Gopal Guru. "Dalit Women Talk Differently" (1995) by Guru questions the notion that Dalit women's experiences can be naturally represented by either mainstream feminism or male-dominated Dalit politics. His claim that independent political expression is necessary for Dalit women is particularly pertinent to *Sathyavathi*. The independent and autonomous organization of Dalit women has the potential to counter Dalit patriarchy from within. Such autonomy is demonstrated in *Sathyavathi*'s subsequent organisational activities. Her transition from individual opposition to the organization of rural women shows how women's empowerment increases when they create autonomous platforms for expressing their demands and experiences.

Sabharwal Nidhi Sadanaand Wandana Sonalkar's "Dalit Women in India: At the Crossroads of Gender, Class, and Caste" (2015) offers a clearly integrated framework. According to the authors, the challenges faced by Dalit women include economic and gender inequality in addition to "discrimination associated with religion, caste, and untouchability" (44). Understanding why *Sathyavathi*'s biography frequently shifts between household, economic, educational, caste, and gender issues is made easier by their research. Her life is impacted by circumstances that don't function on their own. Poverty exacerbates her gender vulnerability, and her caste location influences social recognition and institutional access.

This intersectional understanding is further developed in Susie Tharu's "The Dalit Woman Question," (2014) which challenges the suitability of treating caste and gender as

distinct structures that occasionally overlap. *Sathyavathi* can greatly benefit from her insight that Dalit women's writing brings "land, water, housing, bank loans, education, political leadership, family, domestic violence, sexuality," and other issues into an "uneasy confluence" (159). These domains are precisely traversed by the biography. Gender emerges as a condition ingrained in the material organization of daily life rather than as a singular concept.

In "Caste and Gender Issues in Dalit Women's Autobiographies" (2022), Preeti Singh provides a crucial literary framework for examining the life stories of Dalit women. Such writing transforms seemingly private experiences of poverty, shame, sexuality, marriage, family strife, and resistance into social witness. Because *Sathyavathi*'s recollections frequently link intimate experiences with more significant social institutions, this viewpoint is helpful for Kannabiran's biography. Her experience of sexual compulsion exposes economic dependency, her resistance to forced marriage is both political and personal, and her marital experience exposes the patriarchal monitoring of female sexuality.

Another helpful paradigm is offered by Verma Nikita in "Caste, Patriarchy, and Resistance: A Study of Select Dalit Women Autobiographies" (2025), which places resistance alongside caste and patriarchy. Rather of emerging as a fully developed political theory, *Sathyavathi*'s opposition takes time to mature. Her organised action is preceded by her disobedience as a kid, her perseverance in school, her rejection of forced marriage, her refusal to be sexually exploited, and her departure from an abusive marriage. Thus, the biography shows how political consciousness can develop from real opposition.

Lodhi Kranti and Nisha Indraguru's "Resistance and Resilience: The New Woman in Dalit Feminist Narratives" (2026) describes a pertinent conceptual framework for *Sathyavathi*'s capacity to withstand recurrent material and social challenges. However, passive endurance is not the same as her resilience. Because her survival consistently inspires action, it takes on feminist significance. Sexual compulsion creates a commitment to independence, hunger creates resourcefulness, educational exclusion increases determination, and experiences with violence against women eventually result in organised intervention.

Prof. Visweswara Rao Chenamallu's *"*Dalit Women and Their Journey toward Self-Emancipation*"* (2026) is very helpful in comprehending *Sathyavathi*'s transition from oppression to self-determination. Education, work, physical autonomy, opposition to marriage-based dominance, community service, and organisational leadership are all aspects of her life's self-emancipation. This movement is significant because *Sathyavathi* does not view personal freedom as the pinnacle of emancipation. When her personal independence helps empower other underprivileged women, it takes on significance.

The biography is immediately placed within critical considerations of caste, class, gender, struggle, and social transformation in Prof. Visweswara Rao Chenamallu's *"*Review of *Sathyavathi: Confronting Caste, Class, and Gender* by Vasanth Kannabiran*"* (2026). This essential direction is developed in the current research by focusing on the gendered transition from silence to voice. It looks at how *Sathyavathi*'s early opposition to patriarchal rule serves as the basis for a broader politics that includes women's rights, legal literacy, community organising, gender sensitisation, and political engagement.

Objectives

To investigate how patriarchy functions in *Sathyavathi: Confronting Caste, Class, and Gender* through familial authority, schooling, marriage, sexuality, economic dependence, labour, and communal institutions.

To examine how *Sathyavathi*'s gender interacts with caste, class, and poverty.

To look into how *Sathyavathi*'s resistance and inquiry become manifestations of female agency.

To research economic independence and education as tools of resistance.

To track the evolution of individual oppression experiences into group women's activism.

To investigate political engagement, gender sensitisation, women's organisations, and legal literacy as tactics for grassroots feminist empowerment.

Methodology

This qualitative study uses a close reading of *Sathyavathi: Confronting Caste, Class, and Gender* by Kannabiran. Analysis of gender, caste, class, sexuality, work, education, and agency is guided by Dalit feminist and intersectional views. The critical context for understanding

Sathyavathi's transition from individual resistance to collective activity is provided by secondary scholarship by Guru, Tharu, Sabharwal, Sonalkar, and other Dalit feminist critics.

Discussion

In *Sathyavathi*, patriarchy is depicted through more than just dramatic acts of violence. It functions through the routine structure of daily existence. Gender norms are established and upheld in a variety of contexts, including household chores, childhood play, education, marriage, sexuality, mobility, job, and communal authority. Sabharwal and Sonalkar's comment that "Dalit women are at the lowest end of a social structure that is characterized by great inequality on the basis of caste and gender" (46) highlights the pervasiveness of gender oppression. This structural argument takes on a personal form thanks to *Sathyavathi*'s life. Her disadvantages are not specific to any one institution; rather, they are a result of her marriage, workplace, caste community, school, family, and rural society.

Anthamma, *Sathyavathi*'s mother, establishes the intergenerational nature of patriarchy. Anthamma's early childbirth and child marriage serve as examples of how patriarchal control over female bodies can occur before girls have the social authority required for meaningful consent. During adolescence, she starts having children and takes on a lot of household chores. Her experience demonstrates how social norms that characterize marriage, parenting, and domestic work as girls' natural destiny perpetuate gender inequity. Despite inheriting this social milieu, *Sathyavathi*, in contrast to her mother, gradually gains the ability to question it.

Gender norms are challenged by her early years. *Sathyavathi* does the *dappu*, plays *gillidanda*, flies kites, and engages in pastimes associated with boys. "This girl has all the qualities of a boy," the villagers reply. Why did God make her a female instead of a boy? (Kannabiran 17). The statement reveals how femininity is constructed in society. Courage, public performance, physical prowess, and confidence are viewed as masculine traits rather than human abilities. Thus, *Sathyavathi*'s actions question gender long before she consciously adopts a feminist stance.

These commonplace gender differences must be found within the larger caste system. Tharu cautions against viewing gender and caste as problems with "separate real lives

International Journal of Indian Languages and Literature
A Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal
ISSN : 3108-2254 IMPACT FACTOR : 4.25
Vol. 1, Issue 4 www.ijill.in July-Sept 2026

elsewhere" (159). *Sathyavathi* explains why. Poverty, Dalit social place, labor, and family insecurity all concurrently influence the gendered control of her childhood. Her experiences with gender and caste are not clearly distinct from one another. They coexist.

One of the most obvious places where *Sathyavathi*'s growing freedom meets patriarchal authority is in education. "No one ever gave me a notebook or textbook in all those years," she recalls (Kannabiran 19). However, she borrows books covertly and makes up educational materials. Material impediments are caused by poverty, but when she becomes less submissive due to her increased education, patriarchy presents another barrier. The family tries to stop her schooling and set her up for marriage after she objects to her sister's cruel treatment.

"Marriage was the only way to tame her" is the father's startling conclusion (Kannabiran 24). The connection between female education and patriarchal concern is made clear by the terminology. Beyond merely imparting literacy, education enables *Sathyavathi* to challenge the authority's legitimacy. A system that depends on compliance is threatened by a curious daughter. As a result, marriage is seen as a disciplinary institution that can move women from one type of male authority to another.

The argument made by Sabharwal and Sonalkar sheds light on why Dalit women's access to education is especially important. They point out that Dalit women's advancement in human-development metrics has been "significantly lower" than upper-caste women's, and as a result, discrepancies continue (45). Thus, *Sathyavathi*'s battle in school is more than just a dispute between a single daughter and her father. It is part of a larger history of unequal access influenced by economic disadvantage, caste, and gender.

A significant turning point in the development of female identity is represented by *Sathyavathi*'s rejection of the arranged marriage. In order to address the "issue" brought on by her schooling and enquiries, the family plans to get married. Despite being punished, she refuses. Her opposition argues that a woman must make the decision to marry, not just her family. Refusal becomes an early feminist act in this way. Although she does not yet have institutional safety or financial independence, she asserts moral control over her own destiny.

International Journal of Indian Languages and Literature
A Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal
ISSN : 3108-2254 IMPACT FACTOR : 4.25
Vol. 1, Issue 4 www.ijill.in July-Sept 2026

Her encounter with her brother-in-law Christopher makes this claim even more crucial. He tries to make help contingent on a sexual relationship because *Sathyavathi* depends on him for support. Her answer, *"*Because I am dependent on you, you humiliate me!*"* clearly illustrates the link between sexual vulnerability and dependency. Under such circumstances, I don*'*t require your assistance (Kannabiran 26). Economic autonomy is a matter of bodily autonomy, as evidenced by her later resolve to achieve independence.

The instance strongly aligns with the larger structural issue noted by Sabharwal and Sonalkar, who contend that caste-based exclusion adds to gender and economic hardship, making Dalit women*'*s difficulties *"*more intense and complicated than those faced by other women*"* (70). There are fewer opportunities for a woman to leave violent relationships if she lacks stable material resources. Thus, *Sathyavathi'*s demand for independence turns into an effort to change the material circumstances that enable coercion.

Her gender battle does not cease with marriage. Because she has engaged with males in public, her spouse doubts her sexual purity. *"*You have met so many men and moved around with them,*"* he enquires. How can I tell if you*'*re a virgin? (Kannabiran 41). The question illustrates how female sexuality is monitored by patriarchy. Mobility in public is turned into proof of sexual suspicion. In ways that are not required of men, the socially engaged woman is expected to demonstrate her moral respectability.

When her spouse tries to knife her, the situation turns violent. Instead of accepting the notion that maintaining her marriage is more crucial than maintaining her dignity and physical security, *Sathyavathi* departs. Her choice makes marriage a relationship whose legitimacy depends on respect rather than an unquestioned social necessity.

Guru*'*s criticism of patriarchal traits that persist even in oppressed groups might be applied to her response. Guru contends that independent organisations for Dalit women can *"*counter dalit patriarchy from within*"* (2548). The statement is especially pertinent since *Sathyavathi'*s life story challenges the notion that criticising patriarchy undermines Dalit politics. Conversely, any real emancipatory politics must address patriarchy within marginalised populations.

International Journal of Indian Languages and Literature
A Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal
ISSN : 3108-2254 IMPACT FACTOR : 4.25
Vol. 1, Issue 4 www.ijill.in July-Sept 2026

Sathyavathi's ability to resist is progressively increased by economic independence. She occasionally goes days without eating, and her working life is characterised by extreme poverty. However, having a job provides her more influence over her choices. "Sometimes, when I had no money, I would fast for two or three days together," she notes. I had no trouble controlling my hunger because I was accustomed to it. "Since childhood!" (Kannabiran 44). Economic independence allows her to live independently of the sexual or marital power she has previously experienced, even while poverty is still oppressive.

Her work with underprivileged people facilitates the shift from personal suffering to activism. *Sathyavathi* comes across domestic workers, construction workers, agricultural labourers, abused women, and girls who are in danger of being married off as children. These interactions are more meaningful because of her personal past. The woman who opposed an unwelcome marriage now fights against child marriage; the victim of domestic abuse fights against violence against other women; and the woman who was sexually coerced becomes aware of the ways in which power might take advantage of weak females.

Because Tharu includes "education, political leadership, family, domestic violence, sexuality" as among the concerns that enter into a complex social confluence, her depiction of Dalit women's literature is particularly helpful in this context (159). These are the exact areas where *Sathyavathi*'s activism grows. She doesn't start her feminism with a single "women's issue." It arises from the understanding that caste, economic, familial, sexual, and political relationships all arrange women's lives concurrently.

The ten-year-old girl's case highlights the potential for patriarchal violence to occur even in organisations that purport to support social change. The girl's child marriage is stopped by *Sathyavathi*'s intervention. A director of the organization abuses the youngster sexually while promising to safeguard and educate them. The alleged defender turns becomes the offender. The fact that *Sathyavathi* eventually left the company shows that she did not view progressive institutional language as adequate proof of moral behaviour.

This story is significant because it avoids an unduly straightforward conflict between authoritarian villagers and progressive institutions. NGOs, families, political groups, workplaces,

International Journal of Indian Languages and Literature
A Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal
ISSN : 3108-2254 IMPACT FACTOR : 4.25
Vol. 1, Issue 4 www.ijill.in July-Sept 2026

and development organisations are all settings where patriarchal influence can proliferate. Therefore, institutions that profess to support social change must be held accountable for gender justice.

Sathyavathi's later founding of RADS gives her developing feminist politics a longer-lasting organisational structure. The organization does more than just support specific women who have experienced violence. It creates tools for women to comprehend their rights, band together, and challenge the social institutions that make them vulnerable.

Guru's assertion that "the independent and autonomous organization of Dalit women" has transformative potential is recalled by this development (2548). RADS is important because it changes the status of women from beneficiaries to participants. In addition to speaking up for quiet women, *Sathyavathi's* job is to assist in establishing the circumstances that allow them to act and speak for themselves.

The way that sexual abuse is handled in rural communities highlights the connection between male authority, caste, and gender. When women come out to allege rape or attempted rape, they may deal with village institutions that view sexual violence as an internal communal issue inaddition to the offenders. *Sathyavathi* acknowledged that "rape, minor rape or sexual assault was not deemed a crime but rather an internal private matter," according to Kannabiran (80).

By prohibiting women from transcending community-controlled institutions of justice, this commercialisation of sexual violence upholds patriarchal authority. When a woman visits the police, she challenges not only the accused guy but also the male elders' authority to decide what justice is.

The phrase "The honour and dignity of the community rested on its ability to control its women" (80) by Kannabiran encapsulates this framework. As a result, honour shifts from defending women's rights to controlling their behaviour.

At this moment, Tharu's criticism of caste-blind feminism becomes pertinent. Compared to traditional upper-caste feminist frameworks, she observes that Dalit women writers create more comprehensive frameworks for comprehending "domestic violence, suicide, or sexuality"

International Journal of Indian Languages and Literature
A Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal
ISSN : 3108-2254 IMPACT FACTOR : 4.25
Vol. 1, Issue 4 www.ijill.in July-Sept 2026

(159). *Sathyavathi*'s experiences show why local relationships of caste power, community honour, policing, economic dependency, and gender must be taken into consideration when interpreting sexual violence.

Sonalkar and Sabharwal both highlight the institutional aspects of Dalit women's vulnerability. They note that Dalit women's abuses are "all too often... invisible to the government, the police, and the judiciary" (71). This invisibility is a recurring theme in *Sathyavathi*'s advocacy. Women need support not only because abuse happens but also because institutions might not acknowledge, document, or appropriately address it.

Thus, one of the most crucial phases of *Sathyavathi*'s feminist action is the creation of legal literacy programs. Fundamental rights, police protocols, complaints, and possible legal remedies are all taught to women. The connection between marginalised women and institutions is altered by knowledge. A woman who is aware that she has the right to file a formal complaint is in a different position than one who must rely solely on village elders or middlemen.
As a result, legal literacy is more than just knowledge. Power is redistributed.

Sabharwal and Sonalkar's conclusion that Dalit women face "multiple challenges... require multiple solutions" (71) is in line with this tactic. They contend that protections against social exclusion and discrimination against women from marginalised areas must be included to general measures against gender discrimination. Similar to this, *Sathyavathi*'s work develops a variety of tactics, including economic assistance, women's collectives, direct involvement, community organization, legal education, and political training.

The Lakshmamma example illustrates the need for such advocacy as well as its limitations. When Lakshmamma tries to be more independent, she is brutally sexually and physically abusedand accused of witchcraft. She is ultimately killed despite RADS's help and efforts to reopen her case. Her passing shows that when long-standing patriarchal and caste interests are in jeopardy, female protest can result in brutal vengeance.

Failure, however, does not bring stillness back. *Sathyavathi* sees sexual violence and charges of witchcraft as problems requiring ongoing attention and transforms the tragedy into

additional organisational learning. Thus, activism is portrayed as an ongoing fight against systems that can perpetuate violence rather than as a series of straightforward triumphs.

Women whose socioeconomic situations do not fit into traditional marital classifications are also highlighted in the biography. *Sathyavathi* acknowledges that single women are particularly vulnerable to sexual, economic, and labour exploitation. This extends the definition of gender justice beyond safeguarding mothers and wives.

This strategy aligns with the assertion made by Sabharwal and Sonalkar that *"*women in India are not a homogeneous category*"* (45). Caste, marital status, financial means, education, geography, and institutional access all affect how vulnerable women are. Rather than thinking that all women experience sexism in the same way, *Sathyavathi*'s grassroots practice increasingly responds to such disparities.

In the end, collective organization becomes crucial since systemic inequality cannot be changed by individual bravery alone. The sangha enables women to reframe events that were once thought to be personal tragedies. Economic exploitation, sexual harassment, domestic violence, and exclusion from public decision-making become identifiable as common social issues.

A new communal identity is produced by this process. After being ridiculed at first, the RADS emblem gradually turns into a *"*badge of honour*"* (Kannabiran 81). A change in women's social consciousness is indicated by the symbolic transition. Being a member means that a woman is no longer fighting power on her own.

This makes Guru's point on autonomous organization very relevant. He suggests that the independent organization of Dalit women might bolster the emancipatory fight and confront internal patriarchy (2548). This idea is practically illustrated by *Sathyavathi*'s *sanghas*, which give women collective negotiating power, shared knowledge, and social recognition.

Voice is just as vital. One way to interpret *Sathyavathi*'s life narrative is as a history of learning to speak in situations that were intended to result in silence. She asks a teacher as a schoolgirl. She challenges familial authority as a daughter. She faces sexual coercion as a

dependent woman. She opposes violence and sexual suspicion as a wife. She challenges institutional officials, police officers, landlords, and village elders as an activist.

In this perspective, Guru's statement that Dalit women "talk differently" takes on special meaning. There is more to the distinction than just language. It results from one's social position. *Sathyavathi*'s experiences—caste, famine, female labour, educational exclusion, sexuality, violence, and grassroots activism—produce a kind of knowledge that is inaccessible to viewpoints that address these structures independently.

Eventually, *Sathyavathi*'s work shifts from protecting women to raising awareness of gender issues. As more men contact RADS, the organization realises that challenging masculinity and masculine presumptions about authority is necessary for long-term change.

This represents an important conceptual development. If men still view masculinity as dominance, control, sexual entitlement, or ownership of women's honour, women's empowerment will not be enough to change gender relations.

Sathyavathi's intervention in discussions of sexual violence exposes this problem. She challenges a masculinity that only becomes concerned about sexual violence when the victim is perceived as belonging to a man, as demonstrated by men's claims that they would violently retaliate if "their" wives or sisters were attacked.

The shift is from patriarchal protection to rights-based justice.

Political participation forms another stage in this development. RADS begins examining the functioning of women and Dalit sarpanches and strengthening their participation within Panchayat Raj institutions. Formal representation, the biography suggests, does not automatically produce substantive authority. A woman may occupy an elected office while actual decisions remain controlled by male relatives or dominant caste groups.

The distinction between representation and effective power corresponds to the wider concerns of Dalit feminist scholarship. Political visibility must be accompanied by knowledge, institutional capacity, economic resources, and collective support.

International Journal of Indian Languages and Literature
A Peer-Reviewed Open Access Journal
ISSN : 3108-2254 IMPACT FACTOR : 4.25
Vol. 1, Issue 4 www.ijill.in July-Sept 2026

Sathyavathi's own life provides a powerful symbolic contrast. The girl whose father believed marriage was necessary to "tame" her eventually becomes a woman who trains other women to exercise public authority.

The larger significance of the biography lies in its refusal to separate gender from caste. Sabharwal and Sonalkar conclude that "patriarchy in this society operates in conjunction with the caste system" (71). This proposition provides perhaps the most appropriate theoretical summary of *Sathyavathi*'s experience. Her problems are never simply those of an abstract universal woman. They are produced through a caste society in which material resources, social dignity, labour, education, sexuality, and political authority are unequally distributed.

Tharu similarly proposes that patriarchy may be better understood as "a caste patriarchy" (159). Such a formulation is particularly useful for *Sathyavathi* because it explains why feminist emancipation cannot be achieved merely by challenging male authority within the household. Gender transformation must also address caste hierarchy, economic inequality, community power, institutional discrimination, and access to law.

Sathyavathi's life therefore traces several interconnected transformations. Silence becomes questioning; questioning becomes refusal; refusal creates a desire for independence; independence enables social participation; experience generates political consciousness; and consciousness becomes organisation.

This progression does not mean that oppression disappears. *Sathyavathi* continues to confront violence, institutional betrayal, poverty, caste discrimination, and political resistance. Agency in the biography is not freedom from all constraint. It is the increasing capacity to identify, name, confront, and organise against those constraints.

Kannabiran's description of *Sathyavathi*'s life as "a struggle for survival and justice" (xiii) consequently captures the movement at the heart of the biography. Survival is the beginning of her story, not its conclusion. Justice requires extending the struggle beyond the self.

Her feminism is therefore profoundly grassroots. It emerges from hunger rather than abstraction, from walking through villages rather than observing them from outside, from

encounters with women experiencing violence rather than from theoretical generalisation alone. Yet precisely because it emerges from lived experience, it contributes to feminist knowledge.

Sathyavathi's life supports Tharu's contention that Dalit women's writing brings numerous social questions into a complex "confluence" (159). Her story indicates that the struggle for women's dignity must concurrently address education, labour, sexuality, family, caste, law, political representation, and economic survival.

Ultimately, the biography advances beyond showing *Sathyavathi* as an outstanding individual. Her biggest shift is not from destitute woman to successful campaigner but from individual speaker to facilitator of group discourse. She establishes organisations through which other women acquire knowledge, organisational identity, and the confidence to fight power.

That shift gives the term "From Gendered Silence to Collective Voice" its entire significance. *Sathyavathi*'s voice matters, but the political triumph of her life resides in multiplying voices.

Conclusion

Vasanth Kannabiran's *Sathyavathi*: Confronting Caste, Class and Gender shows gender inequality as an interrelated social structure acting through caste, poverty, family authority, education, sexuality, marriage, labour, community institutions, and the law. *Sathyavathi*'s experiences show what Sabharwal and Sonalkar describe as the confluence of patriarchy and caste and what Tharuconceptualises through the broader term of caste patriarchy. Her story indicates that Dalit women's gender experiences cannot be appropriately explained through a universal category of femininity.

At the same time, the biography refuses to characterize *Sathyavathi* through victimhood. Her journey advances from questioning to refusal, from refusal to independence, and from individual independence to communal organisation. Education provides critical consciousness; economic autonomy strengthens bodily autonomy; experiences of assault deepen her grasp of patriarchal control; and community work translates personal knowledge into feminist activism.

Through RADS, legal literacy, women's *sanghas*, intervention against sexual assault, gender sensitisation, and political training, *Sathyavathi* establishes frameworks through which

underprivileged women can become participants in their own emancipation. Her life thus epitomises Guru's emphasis on the revolutionary possibilities of autonomous Dalit women's organisation. Personal resistance finally becomes collective agency.

Thus, *Sathyavathi*'s life story shows that women's empowerment goes beyond their capacity to endure persecution. It is the capacity to name injustice, oppose subordination, acquire knowledge, join public space, organise collectively, and transform the conditions under which other women live. Kannabiran's story is a significant account of Dalit feminist consciousness, grassroots resistance, dignity, and social transformation because of her transition from gendered quiet to collective speech.

Works Cited

Chenamallu, Visweswara Rao. "Dalit Women and Their Journey towards Self-Emancipation." *International Journal of Recent Development in Engineering and Technology*, vol. 15, no. 6, June 2026, pp. 608–12.

Chenamallu, Visweswara Rao. "Review of *Sathyavathi: Confronting Caste, Class and Gender* by Vasanth Kannabiran." *International Research Journal*, vol. 13, no. 9, Sept. 2026, pp. a52–a57.

Guru, Gopal. "Dalit Women Talk Differently." *Economic and Political Weekly*, vol. 30, nos. 41–42, 14–21 Oct. 1995, pp. 2548–50.

Kannabiran, Vasanth. *Sathyavathi: Confronting Caste, Class and Gender*. Women Unlimited, 2015.

Lodhi, Kranti, and Nisha Indraguru. "Resistance and Resilience: The New Woman in Dalit Feminist Narratives." *International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences*, vol. 11, no. 1, Jan.–Feb. 2026, pp. 67–74.

Sabharwal, Nidhi Sadana, and Wandana Sonalkar. "Dalit Women in India: At the Crossroads of Gender, Class, and Caste." *Global Justice: Theory Practice Rhetoric*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2015, pp. 44–73.

Singh, Preeti. "Caste and Gender Issues in Dalit Women's Autobiographies." *Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Science*, vol. 10, no. 4, 2022, pp. 10–16.

Tharu, Susie. "The Dalit Woman Question." *indi@logs*, vol. 1, 2014, pp. 152–59.

Verma, Nikita. "Caste, Patriarchy, and Resistance: A Study of Select Dalit Women Autobiographies." *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, vol. 6, no. 3, Mar. 2025, pp. 1913–21.